



International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development

Volume 2, Issue 5, May -2015

Image Annotation and Retrieval Using Dual Classifier Formulation

Pranavku marR.Joshi¹,Riddhi V.Shah²

¹.Department of Electronics and Communication, Engineering Parul Institute of Engineering and Technology, Limda, Vadodara

². Parul Institute of Engineering and Technology, Limda, Vadodara

Abstract: Automatic image annotation is a difficult and highly relevant machine learning task. Recent advances have significantly improved the state-of-the-art in retrieval accuracy with algorithms based on nearest neighbor classification in carefully learned metric spaces. But this comes at a price of increased computational complexity during training and testing. We propose FastTag, a novel algorithm that achieves comparable results with two simple linear mappings that are regularized in a joint convex loss function. The loss function can be efficiently optimized in closed form updates, which allows us to incorporate a large number of image descriptors cheaply. On several standard real-world benchmark data sets, we demonstrate that FastTag matches the current state-of-the-art in tagging quality, yet reduces the training and testing times by several orders of magnitude and has lower asymptotic complexity.

Key Words: Image Annotation, Asymptotic complexity, Automatic Image Annotation(AIA), Translation Model(TM), continuous-space relevance model(CRM)

I. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid explosion of images available from various multimedia devices, effective technologies for organizing, searching and browsing these images are urgently required by common users. Ideally, these images should be indexed by semantic descriptions so that traditional information retrieval techniques may be adopted for precise image search. However, as it is impossible to manually annotate so many images, automatic image annotation (AIA) might be a promising solution.

The goal of AIA is to automatically assign some keywords to an image that can well describe the content in it. Figure 1 illustrates a typical system of automatic image annotation. Given an image collection and a dictionary of keywords, a computer assigns keywords to each image automatically. In recent years, a significant amount of researches have focused on automatic image annotation. Early work by Duygu et al. propose the translation model (TM) to treat AIA as a process of translation from a set of blob tokens, obtained by clustering image regions, to a set of keywords. Jeon et al. put forward cross-mediate relevance model (CMRM) to annotate image, assuming that the blobs and words are mutually independent given a specific image. Subsequently, CMRM is improved through continuous-space relevance model (CRM) and multiple-Bernoulli relevance model (MB-RM). Recently, the dual cross-mediate relevance model (DCMRM) which calculates the expectation over words in a pre-defined lexicon is also proposed. In addition, Carneiro et al. come up with the supervised multi-class labeling (SML), which utilizes optimal principle of minimum probability of error and treats annotation as a multi-class classification problem. As latent aspect models, probabilistic latent semantic analysis (PLSA), latent semantic analysis (LSA) and layered pictorial structures (LPS) have also been successfully applied in automatic image annotation. In Fergus et al. extend the PLSA model by adding spatial information based on the visual words. Subsequently, Monay and Gatica-Perez have proposed the classical PLSA-WORDS and PLSA-FEATURES models.

II. RELATED WORK

In this section, we review some of the popular methods for automatic image annotation. The first group of methods are based on parametric topic models. Monay & Gatica-Perez (2004) extend the probabilistic latent semantic analysis model, and Barnard et al. (2003) extend the latent dirichlet allocation model to multimodal data. Each annotated image is modeled as a mixture of topics over visual and text features. The mixture proportions are shared between feature modes, but the topic distribution is not distinct. The second group of methods (Jeon et al., 2003; Lavrenko et al., 2003; Fengetal., 2004) models the joint distribution of the image features and the tags with mixture models. The third group of methods trains discriminative models, such as SVM (Cusano et al., 2003), ranking SVM (Grangier & Bengio, 2008) and boosting (Hertz et al., 2004), to predict tags from image features. While these methods achieve promising annotation results, their complex training processes limit the number of descriptors that can be incorporated. Recently proposed models such as the Joint Equal Contribution model of (Makadia et al., 2008) and the TagProp model of (Guillaumin et al., 2009) rely on local nearest neighborhoods and works surprisingly well despite their simplicity. TagProp is the current state-of-

theartmethodforimageannotation.Itssuccesscanbeattributedtothreeelements:

1. It incorporates a large number of di_erent visual descriptors;
2. It can be trained effectively on images within incomplete tag sets;
3. It treats rare tags special. Although Tagprop achieves superior performance on several benchmark datasets, the $O(n^2)$ training and $O(n)$ test complexity hinders its applicability to large scaled datasets (where n is the number of examples in the training set). In this work, we introduce a new model that incorporates these three elements for success ful annotation much more cheaply. Most existing models assume that a complete list of relevant tags for each image is available at training time. However, in practice, this is either impractical or impossible for a large training set. It is much easier to tag an image with a few well-known prominent visual features than to obtain the complete list from a tag dictionary. To alleviate the need for complete labeling, several existing approaches (Fergus et al., 2009; Schro_etal., 2007; Socher & Fei-Fei, 2010) resort to semi-supervised approaches to leverage unlabelled or weakly labelled data from the web. We adopt the same assumption of sparse training tags and incorporate partial supervision in our work.

III. DUO CLASSIFIER FORMULATION

In this section we introduce a new model for automatic image annotation from incomplete user tags. It jointly learns two classifiers on two sources, i.e., image and text, to agree upon the list of tags predicted for each image. It leads to an optimization problem which is jointly convex and has closed form solutions in each iteration of the optimization.

IV. CO REGULARIZED LEARNING

As we are only provided with an incomplete set of tags, we create an additional auxiliary problem to obtain two sub-tasks:

- 1.Training an image classifier $x_i \rightarrow W$ that predicts the complete tag set from image features, and
- 2.Training a mapping $y_i \rightarrow B$ to enrich the existing sparse tag vector y_i by estimating which tags are likely to co-occur with those already in y_i . We train both classifiers simultaneously and force their outputs to agree by minimizing.

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|B y_i - W x_i\|^2.$$

Here, $B y_i$ is the enriched tag set for the i -th training image, and each row of W contains the weights of a linear classifier that tries to predict the corresponding (enriched) tag base on image features. The loss function as currently written has a trivial solution at $B = 0 = W$, suggesting that the current formulation is underconstrained. We next describe additional regularizations on B that guide the solution.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The outcome of the Dual Classifier formulation method is annotation of objects in image images.



The quality of the Resultant image based on how well they train without losing any properties.

VI CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We present an image tagging method, FastTag, that performs on-par with current state-of-the-art algorithms, at a fraction of the computation cost. We cast a supervised multi-label classification problem as unlabeled multi-view learning. We define two classifiers, one for each view of the data, and coerce them into agreement via a regularization joint loss function. We trade off complexity in the classifiers with non-linear mapping of the features and demonstrate that such a choice pays off. FastTag is computationally efficient during training and testing yet maintains high tagging accuracy. It can effectively deal with sparsely tagged training data and rare tags that are often obstacles in such large-scale learning problems.

In future work we propose low complexity and fast algorithm for image annotation using dual classifier formulation. It is can be also applicable for the Videotagging.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fuming Sun, Haojie Li, Yinghai Zhao, Xueming Wang, Dongxia Wang, "Towards tags ranking for social images" Neurocomputing (2013)
- [2] Dinal O.K, Anu Joseph "Tag Based Image Retrieval Using Optimized Tag Completion Matrix" International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology Research (IJSETR), Volume 3, Issue 5, May 2014
- [3] Shiyong Lee, Wesley De Neve, Konstantinos N. Plataniotis "MAP-based image tag recommendation using a visual folksonomy" Yong Man Ro Pattern Recognition Letters 31 (2010) 976–982
- [4] Dong ping Tian, Baoji "A Survey of Refining Image Annotation Techniques" International Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering Vol.9, No.3 (2014)
- [5] Dong-Hyuk Im, Geun-Duk Park "STAG: Semantic Image Annotation using Relationships between Tags" Department of Computer Engineering IEEE 2013
- [6] Lei Wu Member, Rong Jin, Anil K. Jain "Tag Completion for Image Retrieval" Fellow IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON PATTERN ANALYSIS AND MACHINE INTELLIGENCE, JANUARY 2011